

Kyung-Hee Kim

From: <DO_NO_REPLY@allacademic.com>
To: <kyungheekim556@hotmail.com>
Sent: Monday, August 22, 2005 11:12 AM
Subject: AERA Proposals have now been assigned for review

Dear Kyung Hee Kim,

Once again, thank you for volunteering to review proposals for the SIG–Research on Giftedness and Talent. With only a handful of exceptions, we were able to assign each of you the number of proposals for which you volunteered. I am pleased to inform you that you have been selected to be a reviewer for one or more proposal submissions to the 2006 AERA Meeting in San Francisco, Friday, April 7 – Tuesday, April 11.?

You should now be able to sign in to the AERA web site and download these proposals. The SIG will be using your responses to the ten standard questions, as well as your overall "accept" or "reject" rating, to evaluate each proposal that we have received. Log into the system at (<https://www.aera.net/AALogin.aspx?ReturnURL=/WS/WriteAA.aspx>)

and access the proposal(s) that have been assigned to you.?Read each proposal, and then enter your scores and comments into the on–line system for review by the program chairs.?

Saturday, September 17 at midnight is this year^뉘 deadline for receiving your ratings. Please feel free to finish them earlier if you are able to do so, as this will allow us a bit more time to complete the other steps in this process.

Finally, please note that this is designed to be a masked review process. If you should happen to come across personally identifying information, or encounter any other potential conflict of interest that might influence your review, please contact us so that we can resolve the issue in an appropriate manner. Please note also that it is the policy of AERA that in all reviews involving Annual Meeting proposals, that reviewers employ both appropriate tone and language, and engage a spirit of scholarly constructive assessment of the strengths and weaknesses of a submitted proposal.?In light of this AERA policy we thank you in advance for adhering to this policy.

Best,

Michael S. Matthews, Ph.D.
2006 Program Chair
matthews@coedu.usf.edu

Cheryll Adams, Ph.D.
2006 Assistant Program Chair
cadams@bsu.edu

Kyung-Hee Kim

From: <DO_NO_REPLY@allacademic.com>
To: <kyungheekim556@hotmail.com>
Sent: Monday, August 22, 2005 11:12 AM
Subject: AERA Proposals have now been assigned for review

Dear Kyung Hee Kim,

Once again, thank you for volunteering to review proposals for the SIG–Research on Giftedness and Talent. With only a handful of exceptions, we were able to assign each of you the number of proposals for which you volunteered. I am pleased to inform you that you have been selected to be a reviewer for one or more proposal submissions to the 2006 AERA Meeting in San Francisco, Friday, April 7 – Tuesday, April 11.?

You should now be able to sign in to the AERA web site and download these proposals. The SIG will be using your responses to the ten standard questions, as well as your overall "accept" or "reject" rating, to evaluate each proposal that we have received. Log into the system at (<https://www.aera.net/AALogin.aspx?ReturnURL=/WS/WriteAA.aspx>)

and access the proposal(s) that have been assigned to you.?Read each proposal, and then enter your scores and comments into the on–line system for review by the program chairs.?

Saturday, September 17 at midnight is this year^뉘 deadline for receiving your ratings. Please feel free to finish them earlier if you are able to do so, as this will allow us a bit more time to complete the other steps in this process.

Finally, please note that this is designed to be a masked review process. If you should happen to come across personally identifying information, or encounter any other potential conflict of interest that might influence your review, please contact us so that we can resolve the issue in an appropriate manner. Please note also that it is the policy of AERA that in all reviews involving Annual Meeting proposals, that reviewers employ both appropriate tone and language, and engage a spirit of scholarly constructive assessment of the strengths and weaknesses of a submitted proposal.?In light of this AERA policy we thank you in advance for adhering to this policy.

Best,

Michael S. Matthews, Ph.D.
2006 Program Chair
matthews@coedu.usf.edu

Cheryll Adams, Ph.D.
2006 Assistant Program Chair
cadams@bsu.edu

Kyung-Hee Kim

From: "Matthews,Michael" <matthews@coedu.usf.edu>
To: <kyungheekim556@hotmail.com>
Sent: Sunday, August 21, 2005 3:20 PM
Subject: AERA

Hi Kyung Hee,

I hope all's well up there where it isn't quite as humid. I think I had asked you if you would review some proposals for AERA, and I think you agreed (I hope my memory is correct). I wanted to let you know that I added you into the system and gave you 6 proposals to review (maybe 7, I don't remember exactly). As you know, there aren't very many people in the field who have the statistical expertise that you do, so I hope you will be able to fit these in to your busy schedule during the next few weeks. You should get the "official" email from me and Cheryl Adams early this coming week, but I wanted to let you know ahead of time since you hadn't "officially" volunteered.

Thanks,

Michael

Kyung-Hee Kim

From: <DO_NO_REPLY@allacademic.com>
To: <kyungheekim556@hotmail.com>
Sent: Monday, August 22, 2005 11:12 AM
Subject: AERA Proposals have now been assigned for review

Dear Kyung Hee Kim,

Once again, thank you for volunteering to review proposals for the SIG–Research on Giftedness and Talent. With only a handful of exceptions, we were able to assign each of you the number of proposals for which you volunteered. I am pleased to inform you that you have been selected to be a reviewer for one or more proposal submissions to the 2006 AERA Meeting in San Francisco, Friday, April 7 – Tuesday, April 11.?

You should now be able to sign in to the AERA web site and download these proposals. The SIG will be using your responses to the ten standard questions, as well as your overall "accept" or "reject" rating, to evaluate each proposal that we have received. Log into the system at (<https://www.aera.net/AALogin.aspx?ReturnURL=/WS/WriteAA.aspx>)

and access the proposal(s) that have been assigned to you.?Read each proposal, and then enter your scores and comments into the on–line system for review by the program chairs.?

Saturday, September 17 at midnight is this year's deadline for receiving your ratings. Please feel free to finish them earlier if you are able to do so, as this will allow us a bit more time to complete the other steps in this process.

Finally, please note that this is designed to be a masked review process. If you should happen to come across personally identifying information, or encounter any other potential conflict of interest that might influence your review, please contact us so that we can resolve the issue in an appropriate manner. Please note also that it is the policy of AERA that in all reviews involving Annual Meeting proposals, that reviewers employ both appropriate tone and language, and engage a spirit of scholarly constructive assessment of the strengths and weaknesses of a submitted proposal.?In light of this AERA policy we thank you in advance for adhering to this policy.

Best,

Michael S. Matthews, Ph.D.
2006 Program Chair
matthews@coedu.usf.edu

Cheryll Adams, Ph.D.
2006 Assistant Program Chair
cadams@bsu.edu

Kyung-Hee Kim

From: <DO_NO_REPLY@allacademic.com>
To: <kyungheekim556@hotmail.com>
Sent: Monday, August 22, 2005 11:12 AM
Subject: AERA Proposals have now been assigned for review

Dear Kyung Hee Kim,

Once again, thank you for volunteering to review proposals for the SIG–Research on Giftedness and Talent. With only a handful of exceptions, we were able to assign each of you the number of proposals for which you volunteered. I am pleased to inform you that you have been selected to be a reviewer for one or more proposal submissions to the 2006 AERA Meeting in San Francisco, Friday, April 7 – Tuesday, April 11.?

You should now be able to sign in to the AERA web site and download these proposals. The SIG will be using your responses to the ten standard questions, as well as your overall "accept" or "reject" rating, to evaluate each proposal that we have received. Log into the system at (<https://www.aera.net/AALogin.aspx?ReturnURL=/WS/WriteAA.aspx>)

and access the proposal(s) that have been assigned to you.?Read each proposal, and then enter your scores and comments into the on–line system for review by the program chairs.?

Saturday, September 17 at midnight is this year's deadline for receiving your ratings. Please feel free to finish them earlier if you are able to do so, as this will allow us a bit more time to complete the other steps in this process.

Finally, please note that this is designed to be a masked review process. If you should happen to come across personally identifying information, or encounter any other potential conflict of interest that might influence your review, please contact us so that we can resolve the issue in an appropriate manner. Please note also that it is the policy of AERA that in all reviews involving Annual Meeting proposals, that reviewers employ both appropriate tone and language, and engage a spirit of scholarly constructive assessment of the strengths and weaknesses of a submitted proposal.?In light of this AERA policy we thank you in advance for adhering to this policy.

Best,

Michael S. Matthews, Ph.D.
2006 Program Chair
matthews@coedu.usf.edu

Cheryll Adams, Ph.D.
2006 Assistant Program Chair
cadams@bsu.edu

Kyung-Hee Kim

From: <DO_NO_REPLY@allacademic.com>
To: <kyungheekim556@hotmail.com>
Sent: Monday, August 22, 2005 11:12 AM
Subject: AERA Proposals have now been assigned for review

Dear Kyung Hee Kim,

Once again, thank you for volunteering to review proposals for the SIG–Research on Giftedness and Talent. With only a handful of exceptions, we were able to assign each of you the number of proposals for which you volunteered. I am pleased to inform you that you have been selected to be a reviewer for one or more proposal submissions to the 2006 AERA Meeting in San Francisco, Friday, April 7 – Tuesday, April 11.?

You should now be able to sign in to the AERA web site and download these proposals. The SIG will be using your responses to the ten standard questions, as well as your overall "accept" or "reject" rating, to evaluate each proposal that we have received. Log into the system at (<https://www.aera.net/AALogin.aspx?ReturnURL=/WS/WriteAA.aspx>)

and access the proposal(s) that have been assigned to you.?Read each proposal, and then enter your scores and comments into the on–line system for review by the program chairs.?

Saturday, September 17 at midnight is this year's deadline for receiving your ratings. Please feel free to finish them earlier if you are able to do so, as this will allow us a bit more time to complete the other steps in this process.

Finally, please note that this is designed to be a masked review process. If you should happen to come across personally identifying information, or encounter any other potential conflict of interest that might influence your review, please contact us so that we can resolve the issue in an appropriate manner. Please note also that it is the policy of AERA that in all reviews involving Annual Meeting proposals, that reviewers employ both appropriate tone and language, and engage a spirit of scholarly constructive assessment of the strengths and weaknesses of a submitted proposal.?In light of this AERA policy we thank you in advance for adhering to this policy.

Best,

Michael S. Matthews, Ph.D.
2006 Program Chair
matthews@coedu.usf.edu

Cheryll Adams, Ph.D.
2006 Assistant Program Chair
cadams@bsu.edu

Kyung-Hee Kim

From: <DO_NO_REPLY@allacademic.com>
To: <kyungheekim556@hotmail.com>
Sent: Monday, August 22, 2005 11:12 AM
Subject: AERA Proposals have now been assigned for review

Dear Kyung Hee Kim,

Once again, thank you for volunteering to review proposals for the SIG–Research on Giftedness and Talent. With only a handful of exceptions, we were able to assign each of you the number of proposals for which you volunteered. I am pleased to inform you that you have been selected to be a reviewer for one or more proposal submissions to the 2006 AERA Meeting in San Francisco, Friday, April 7 – Tuesday, April 11.?

You should now be able to sign in to the AERA web site and download these proposals. The SIG will be using your responses to the ten standard questions, as well as your overall "accept" or "reject" rating, to evaluate each proposal that we have received. Log into the system at (<https://www.aera.net/AALogin.aspx?ReturnURL=/WS/WriteAA.aspx>)

and access the proposal(s) that have been assigned to you.?Read each proposal, and then enter your scores and comments into the on–line system for review by the program chairs.?

Saturday, September 17 at midnight is this year's deadline for receiving your ratings. Please feel free to finish them earlier if you are able to do so, as this will allow us a bit more time to complete the other steps in this process.

Finally, please note that this is designed to be a masked review process. If you should happen to come across personally identifying information, or encounter any other potential conflict of interest that might influence your review, please contact us so that we can resolve the issue in an appropriate manner. Please note also that it is the policy of AERA that in all reviews involving Annual Meeting proposals, that reviewers employ both appropriate tone and language, and engage a spirit of scholarly constructive assessment of the strengths and weaknesses of a submitted proposal.?In light of this AERA policy we thank you in advance for adhering to this policy.

Best,

Michael S. Matthews, Ph.D.
2006 Program Chair
matthews@coedu.usf.edu

Cheryll Adams, Ph.D.
2006 Assistant Program Chair
cadams@bsu.edu